specific exposure estimates used in the paper under consideration here.

With regard to the criticism that the half-life for 2,3,7,8-
TCDD (6.9 years) used in the estimation was derived from
a subset of the cohort (n = 48), it is important to note that
this estimate is in agreement with results from other cohorts
(5-7).

Dr. Swaen’s second criticism concerns the appropriateness
of the comparison group. Because the validity of all
inferences from epidemiologic data depend on the comparability
of groups compared, we adopted two comparison
strategies in the current paper and a third in a previous paper
(a standardized mortality ratio (SMR) analysis with mortality
of the German population as reference (8)). One comparison
involved a cohort of gas workers, and the other used an internal comparison within the cohort of chemical workers.
The two lowest exposure quintiles served as the reference group. We outlined the advantages and disadvantages of each comparison in detail in the paper. Our conclusions were not based on one comparison alone, as Dr. Swaen suggests.

With respect to the gas worker comparison, Dr. Swaen mentions the different minimum duration of employment between the chemical worker cohort (3 months) and the gas worker cohort (10 years). Because of this difference, we adjusted the Cox regression models for duration of employment. In addition, our first SMR analysis (8) had shown that the elevated cancer risk in the chemical workers cohort is mainly due to an elevation in the subgroup with long duration of exposure (≥20 years). Thus, restricting the chemical worker cohort to workers with duration of employment of ≥10 years increases, rather than decreases, the relative risks in the comparison with the gas worker cohort. Finally, the explanation for the selection process in the gas worker cohort is that in the Cox regression analysis only gas workers actively employed on January 1, 1952 (the start of the follow-up for the chemical worker cohort) were included, leaving 2,528 out of 3,120 male workers.

The last issue that Dr. Swaen raises is that of the statistical analysis. He mentions that the relative risks presented in table 3 for total mortality are very high compared with the SMR in relation to the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) population reported in 1991 (8). However, one should compare the relative risks in table 3—derived from a proportional hazard model including the cohort of gas workers as unexposed controls—with the SMR for the gas worker comparison in the 1991 paper, not with the SMR in relation to the FRG-population mortality. For the lower exposure categories, the magnitude of the gas worker-related estimates reported in 1991 and in the current paper are very similar. With regard to the estimate in the highest exposure category, it is important to stress that no comparable exposure category was identified in the earlier paper. Furthermore, the relative risk for total mortality was significant and substantial not only in the comparison with the gas workers, but also in the internal comparison (relative risk = 1.55, 95 percent confidence interval 1.06-2.26, table 5 (2)).

In summary, within the constraints which are inherent to retrospective mortality studies in an occupational setting, we see strong indirect evidence against a serious bias in the exposure estimates. Furthermore, the results derived from comparisons with different groups using statistical techniques that adjust for basic differences between groups corroborate each other. Thus, we stand by our conclusion that these data are evidence of a dose-response relationship between estimated dioxin levels and risk of all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality. Our findings support the hypothesis that TCDD is a human carcinogen.
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RE: “A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON JOHN SNOW’S COMMUNICABLE DISEASE THEORY”

Dr. Winkelstein (1) has to be commended for describing again in great detail how John Snow’s investigations on the spread of cholera were based on strong a priori reasoning. Snow’s ideas derived from his insight into the etiology of infectious diseases. As an historical example, it remains important to remember that Snow’s theory on the communication of cholera was not derived from his epidemiologic observations, but preceded them.

Previously, I had called attention to this often neglected aspect of the history of Snow and cholera, and I had based my argument on the first edition of Snow’s book On the Mode of Communication of Cholera (2), which was written before he made his observations on water companies and the Broad Street pump (3, 4). Others in the recent literature
had already called attention to this interpretation of his work (5). The first persons who clearly stated that Snow had specified his hypothesis before collecting the facts were his contemporary friend and biographer, Sir Benjamin Ward Richardson (6), and also Wade Hampton Frost, who wrote the introduction to the second edition of Snow’s work (7). Both described how Snow already had his theory “in mind” when he looked for suitable observations to test it.

The historical part of Dr. Winkelstein’s paper is beautifully researched. It will remain a cornerstone in the literature about John Snow. However, his perspective is less new than the title of his contribution implies. This perspective is simply repeatedly forgotten, and equally often rediscovered.
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Editor’s note: In accordance with Journal policy, Dr. Winkelstein was given the opportunity to reply to the above letter, but he chose not to do so.

RE: “FAMILY HISTORY OF CANCER AND RISK OF LUNG CANCER AMONG LIFETIME NONSMOKING WOMEN IN THE UNITED STATES”

We read with interest the report of a deficit of digestive tract cancers in the first-degree relatives of nonsmoking female lung cancer patients (1). We have noted a similar deficit in the first-degree relatives of patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) in two separate case-control studies. In the first study of 754 cases of SCCHN and 1,507 age- and sex-matched hospital controls carried out in southern Brazil (2), we found a reduced risk for colorectal cancer in association with a family history of SCCHN (adjusted relative risk (RR) = 0.60, 95 percent confidence interval (CI) 0.20-1.77). In a second study (3), we found a deficit of colorectal cancer in 1,429 first-degree relatives of 242 cases of SCCHN compared with 934 relatives of 156 spouse controls ascertained at one hospital in Montreal (adjusted RR = 0.49, 95 percent CI 0.20-1.77).

Wu et al. (1) note the deficit of lung cancer in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer families. Several population-based studies have shown significant (4, 5) or nonsignificant deficits (6, 7) of second cancers of the colon and rectum after lung cancer (and vice versa).

It appears that there may be an inverse relation between colorectal cancer and both SCCHN and lung cancer. Environmental and genetic aspects of this phenomenon deserve to be explored further.
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Editor’s note: In accordance with Journal policy, Dr. Wu and her coauthors were given the opportunity to reply to the above letter, but they chose not to do so.